## IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

IN RE:	§	CASE NO. 20-33948 (MI)
	§ §	Debtors.
Chapter 11	§ §	Jointly Administered
	§ 8	
FIELDWOOD ENERGY LLC, et al. <sup>1</sup>	8	

## OBJECTION BY SAMSON CONTOUR ENERGY E & P, LLC TO THE ADEQUACY OF THE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FOR JOINT CHAPTER 11 PLAN OF REORGANIZATION OF FIELDWOOD ENERGY LLC AND ITS AFFILIATED DEBTORS (DKT NO. 723)

NOW INTO COURT, through undersigned counsel, comes Samson Contour Energy E & P, LLC ("SCEEP"), and respectfully objects to the Disclosure Statement for Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization of Fieldwood Energy LLC and its Affiliated Debtors [Dkt No. 723] ("Disclosure Statement") for the following reasons:

1. SCEEP sold certain oil and gas properties to Fieldwood Energy Offshore, LLC (f/k/a Dynamic Offshore Resources, LLC and referred to herein as "Fieldwood") pursuant to a Purchase and Sales Agreement dated June 10, 2010 ("PSA"). Pursuant to the PSA, Fieldwood assumed all plugging and abandonment obligations relating to those properties. It appears that some of these properties are included in the 187 offshore oil and gas properties referred to as the

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, as applicable, are: Dynamic Offshore Resources NS, LLC (0158); Fieldwood Energy LLC (6778); Fieldwood Energy Inc. (4991); Fieldwood Energy Offshore LLC (4494); Fieldwood Onshore LLC (3489); Fieldwood SD Offshore LLC (8786); Fieldwood Offshore LLC (2930); FW GOM Pipeline, Inc. (8440); GOM Shelf LLC (8107); Bandon Oil and Gas GP, LLC (9172); Bandon Oil and Gas, LP (9266); Fieldwood Energy SP LLC (1971); Galveston Bay Pipeline LLC (5703); and Galveston Bay Processing LLC (0422). Debtors' primary mailing address is 2000 W. Sam Houston Parkway S., Suite 1200, Houston, TX 77042. These parties are referred to herein collectively as the Debtors.

Abandoned Properties in the Disclosure Statement and the Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization (the "Plan).<sup>2</sup>

- 2. Other objections to the Disclosure Statement have briefed in detail the standards established by case law that this Court should consider in determining whether a Disclosure Statement is adequate. SCEEP will not burden this Court with repeating those standards, of which this Court is quite aware.<sup>3</sup> The Disclosure Statement does not include sufficient and adequate information to allow parties to make an informed decision to accept or reject the Plan. This is particularly true for SCEEP whose relationship with the Debtors arises out of the Abandoned Properties. The Plan appears to divide the oil and gas properties into four groups. The first group, assumedly the most valuable, are to be sold pursuant to the Credit Bid Transaction. Properties are also to be transferred to FWE I, for which Apache is a predecessor. Other properties are to be transferred to FWE III. Finally, there is a fourth group of properties called the Abandoned Properties. No matter their group, the Disclosure Statement offers little or no information about the value of any of these properties.
- 3. As to the Abandoned Properties, the Disclosure Statement and Plan appear to suggest that the abandonment of these properties does not simply revert the properties back to the Debtors but that the properties somehow would be transferred to the Debtor's predecessors in title.

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms not defined herein shall has the meaning ascribed to them in the Plan or Disclosure Statement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Samson Contour has reviewed the Objection of XTO Offshore, Inc., Inc., HHE Energy Company, and XH LLC to the Disclosure Statement for the Joint Plan of Fieldwood Energy, OOC and its Affiliated Debtors (Dkt No. 900) and Chevron USA, Inc. and North Energy, Inc.'s Motion for entry of an Order Approving (the Chevron U.S.A. Inc. and Noble Energy, Inc.'s Objection to Debtors' Motion for Entry of an Order Approving (I) the Adequacy of the Disclosure Statement, (II) Proposed voting and tabulation Procedures, (III) Procedures for Executory Contract Assumption and Assignment, and (IV) Procedures for Assignment and Transfer of Property of the Estate (Dkt No. 880) and adopts their statements as to applicable law.

No basis in law is asserted in the Disclosure Statement to support this proposition, likely because

there is none. Abandonment only removes an asset from the estate and ends its administration. It

is not a transfer of a debtors' property.

4. Other than a listing included in Exhibit F, there seems to be little or no information

regarding the Abandoned Properties. There is no information provided identifying predecessors

for the various properties, or describing the status of the property (e.g., is it operating? Plugged?

Still subject to a lease?). There is no information provided regarding the magnitude of the Debtors'

obligations relating to these properties or what bonds may be available to fund those obligations.

The Plan appears to be simply an attempt by the Debtors to walk away from their obligations.

5. As raised by others, it appears that the Debtors have current and expected

decommissioning and plugging abandonment obligations of approximately \$9 billion relating to

all of their properties. The Disclosure Statement offers little or no information as to how these

obligations are going to be resolved. For instance, although the Plan separates the properties into

four groups, it fails to disclose how the Debtors' assets will be used to meet the billions of dollars

of safety and environmental obligations due by the Estates.

6. Under the terms of the Plan as described in the Disclosure Statement, it appears that

the Debtors propose to walk away from the Abandoned Properties without disclosing who will

own the property, operate the properties, or address the remaining obligations. Even more

astonishing, it appears that the Debtors are attempting to include in this category already

terminated leases.

7. The Disclosure Statement offers little or no information as to what unsecured

creditors can expect as a potential recovery or how the Debtors will fund that recovery.

3

8. The Disclosure Statement fails to provide any analysis as to why the confirmation

of this Plan and the treatment provided thereunder is preferable to the conversion of these cases to

cases under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Disclosure Statement fails to provide

creditors with information in order to discern whether the Plan offers them fair and equitable

treatment or whether this Plan is in their best interest.

9. The Debtors have extensive obligations relating to the maintenance and P&A

obligations arising out of all of their oil and gas properties. What is not at all clear is how the

Debtors intend to address those obligations and how that effort will affect their many creditors.

Unless and until the Debtors can propose a plan that deals fairly and equitably with all their

creditors in a manner that is in their best interest and file a disclosure statement that adequately

describes that effort, no disclosure statement should be approved.

For these reasons, SCEEP prays that as after due notice and such hearing as this Court deems proper, that this objection be deemed sufficient and that this Court determine that the

Disclosure Statement is inadequate.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jan M. Hayden

BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

201 St Charles Avenue, Ste. 3600

New Orleans, LA 70170

Telephone: (504) 566-5200

Facsimile: (504 636-4000

Jan Hayden

Lacey Rochester

jhayden@bakerdonelson.com

lrochester@bakerdonelson.com

Attorneys for Samson Contour

Energy E & P, LLC

4

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on March 12, 2020, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Objection was served via the Court's Electronic Notification System on all parties entitled to such notice.

/s/ Jan M. Hayden JAN M. HAYDEN